

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

FIGURES 1-3D have been amended to add the legend --Prior Art--.

LAW OFFICES OF  
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup>  
1420 Fifth Avenue  
Suite 2800  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
206.682.8100

## REMARKS

This amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed June 19, 2007, (hereinafter "Office Action"). With this response, Claims 1-6 are canceled. Claims 7-34 remain pending in the application.

### Objection to the Drawings

The Office Action objected to FIGURES 1-3D as failing to be designated by legend as prior art. Corrected drawings are submitted herewith in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(d) and MPEP § 608.02(g).

### Nonstatutory Double-Patenting Rejection

The Office Action issued a nonstatutory double-patenting rejection, asserting that the pending claims are not patentably distinct from some claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,973,624. Applicants submit that the Terminal Disclaimer submitted herewith fully resolves the double-patenting issue, and requests that the double-patenting rejection be withdrawn.

### 35 U.S.C. § 101 Rejections

Claims 1-6 are canceled. The 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejections are, therefore, moot.

### 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) Rejections

Claims 7-34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter "APA"), Specification of the Instant Application [FIGURES 1-3D and Col. 1, line 15, to Col. 6, line 19]. Applicants respectfully disagree.

Applicants point out that independent Claims 7, 12, 13, and 24 each recite "a set of exposed attributes" for each page function, and moreover, that "the exposed attributes defining types of information returnable by the page function [are] strongly typed." Applicants further point to the specification, paragraphs [0018] *et seq.*, that describe the difficulties of tight

LAW OFFICES OF  
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup>  
1420 Fifth Avenue  
Suite 2800  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
206.682.8100

coupling due to the fact that page functions fail to expose a set of attributes, and that they are not tightly coupled.

Exposing attributes of page functions as well as strongly typing the exposed attributes of page functions solves problems illustrated through the description of Figs. 1-3D.


Applicants submit that the background section of the specification fails to disclose page functions with a set of exposed attributes, and that "the exposed attributes defining types of information returnable by the page function [are] strongly typed." Accordingly, applicants request that the 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) rejection of Claims 7-34 be withdrawn, and the claims allowed.

#### CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims, Claims 7-34, are in condition for allowance. Early and favorable action allowing these claims and passing this application to issue is respectfully solicited. If the Examiner has any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact applicants' attorney at the number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR  
JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup>



Tracy S. Powell  
Registration No. 53,479  
Direct Dial No. 206.695.1786

TSP:cme

Attachments:

Terminal Disclaimer  
6 Sheets of Corrected Drawings (FIGS 1-3D)

LAW OFFICES OF  
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup>  
1420 Fifth Avenue  
Suite 2800  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
206.682.8100